

1
2
3
4
5
6 ***E-FILED - 10/27/08***
7
8

9
10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 GREGORY ROBERSON,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 SAN FRANCISCO POLICE
17 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

18 Defendants.

19 No. C 08-03428 RMW (PR)

20 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
21 EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR
22 SHORTENING TIME TO HEAR
23 MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF
24 TIME TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION;
25 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
26 ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE
27 MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

28 / (Docket Nos. 32, 33)

Defendants have requested an ex-parte application for an order shortening time to hear motion for enlargement of time to file dispositive motion. Defendants have also filed a motion for extension of time in which to file their motion for summary judgment. Having read and considered defendants' ex parte request, the accompanying motion and declaration of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants' ex parte request for an extension of time is GRANTED. The time in which defendants may file their motion for summary judgment will be extended up to and including **March 11, 2009**. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall

1 be filed with the court and served on defendants no later than **thirty (30) days** after the date
2 defendants' motion is filed. If defendants wish to file a reply brief, they shall do so no later than
3 **fifteen (15) days** after the date plaintiff's opposition is filed.

4 This Order terminates docket nos. 32, 33.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: 10/22/08



7 RONALD M. WHYTE
8 United States District Judge